arXiv:1409.5274v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 14 Oct 2014

On the stability and magnetic properties of surface nanobubbles in water

Siddhartha Sen[*1] and **Kumar S. Gupta**[†2]

[1] *CRANN,*
Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland
[2] *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Theory Division*
1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700 064, India

A model for gas nanobubbles is proposed in which their remarkable stability is explained as due to the presence of a qualitatively different form of water covering the nanobubble surface which leads to a reduction of the diffusion coefficient by a factor of 10^9. It is shown that this new form of water is created by the interaction of the electrons of water molecules with the zero point vacuum electromagnetic field. The model gives an estimate for the life time of surface nanobubbles, explains why they are not influenced by surfactants and predicts that they should exhibit nonlinear paramagnetism.

PACS numbers: 47.55.D-, 31.30.J-, 75.75.-c

Nanobubbles with radii in the range 25 to 1000 nanometres and contact angles in the range 135° to 175° have been observed to form on hydrophobic surfaces, where they are remarkably stable [1–5]. For bubbles of this size lifetimes of the order microseconds are expected due to the high Laplace pressure, $\frac{2\gamma}{\rho}$, where γ is the surface tension of the liquid and ρ, the radius of curvature of the bubble, inside them. This high pressure should drive the gas into the liquid by diffusion and make the nanobubbles unstable. However the nanobubbles observed are very stable with lifetimes of hours or even days. These bubbles have unusual properties. For example it is observed that the addition of surfactants does not influence their long life time and stability. Normally one would expect surfactants, which lower the surface tension, to decrease the bubble lifetimes.

Any theoretical model for nanobubbles should explain these basic observational features. A number of ideas have been proposed [1–5]. Here we would like to propose a very different model [6] which can qualitatively account for the surface nanobubble properties listed. Our model gives an estimate of their lifetimes, explains why they expel surfactants and it also predicts that the surface nanobubbles should have nonlinear response to external magnetic fields with a saturation value for its magnetic moment per water molecule, of $g\mu_B$, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton and $g \approx 10^{-3}$. The magnetic moment of the nanoshell is estimated to be $\approx 10^6 \mu_B$.

The basic premise of the model is that interfacial water has two phases. The first phase is normal water. The second phase is water in the form of coherent nanoscale domains of volume V_c containing a suitable number N of electrons associated with the water molecules. We suggest that it is this coherent phase that is responsible for the stability and existence of surface nanobubbles.

We show that the zero-point fluctuating vacuum electromagnetic (EM) field, required to exist by quantum theory, can spontaneously generate nanoscale structures. It can produce a time-independent shift in the position of orbital electrons [7]. For the case of the hydrogen atom, this positional shift has been used to provide a good estimate of the observed Lamb shift of its ^2S energy level [7–9]. The zero-point vacuum EM field also gives rise to the Casimir effect [10]. For two parallel plates close to each other the energy of the vacuum EM field between the plates changes if the distance between the plates is changed. This gives rise to the Casimir force, which has been measured [11].

In this work, we combine the idea of a universal shift in the position of an electron due to the fluctuating vacuum EM field with the idea that a change in the volume of a region containing zero point EM fields gives rise to forces. We apply these ideas to a well defined nanoscale volume containing a number of electrons and show how an induced EM force is generated. This interaction lowers the energy of the ground state of the cluster and thus leads to the formation of a stable spontaneously created coherent many-electron nanoscale structure. Here we suggest that such a coherent structure is formed on the surface of nanobubbles in water and is responsible for their remarkable stability.

Let us sketch how this comes about. Our system is the surface of a nanobubble, which is assumed to have a well defined surface layer volume V_c. This volume contains water molecules with N orbiting electrons on which the zero point EM field acts. Furthermore each water molecule is assumed to be in one of two electronic states, a ground state of energy $\hbar\omega_1$ and an excited state of energy $\hbar\omega_2$, which is assumed to be stable. This assumption is made only for the sake of simplicity. It is not an essential requirement of the model. Recent theoretical work on water suggests that the excited states of bulk water are unstable leading to the dissociation of electrons from

*siddhartha.sen@tcd.ie
†kumars.gupta@saha.ac.in

the water molecules on a timescale of femtoseconds [12]. Thus nanoscale structures in the bulk, even if formed are unstable. However this might not be the case at the surface or for water in the presence of surfaces or other substrates, such as biomolecules. Here surface effects can stabilize excited states. We assume this to be the case. For instance biomolecules provide a convenient scaffold for nanostructures and there is indeed evidence of different form of water adjacent to biomolecules [13, 14]. Our analysis will show that coherent nanoscale structures do form as a volume layer at the surface of nanobubbles. The electrons of the water molecule are coherent as they all oscillate with a collective common frequency Ω, different from the transition frequency $\omega = \omega_2 - \omega_1$ of the water molecule. This collective behaviour arises because, as we show, the coherent structure has lower energy. Furthermore a result of Frohlich [15] implies that two oscillating dipoles interacting through a compatible oscillating EM field attract one another while molecules or atoms which do not satisfy such a resonance condition repel [16]. This explains why surfactants do not influence stability: the surfactant molecules, not in resonance with the coherent surface volume molecules are expelled by the Frohlich force. Thus gases in the coherent water layer, not in resonance, are expelled from the water to the hydrophobic surface, leading to the formation of surface nanobubbles.

The formation of a coherent water layer also prevents diffusion of gases through them. This is because the scattering cross section of gas molecules with a coherent structure is greatly increased leading to a decrease in the diffusion coefficient D. Recall that $D \approx \frac{<v>}{n\sigma}$, where $< v >$ is the thermal speed of the diffusing molecule, n is the number density of water molecules from which it scatters and σ is the scattering cross section of water for the gas molecule concerned. If the surface water contains N water molecules scattering incoherently, the scattering is proportional to N while if the scattering is coherent, it is proportional to N^2 [17]. The scattering is expected to be coherent for the coherent nanoscale domains so that the effective cross section for scattering is increased by a factor of N and the diffusion constant is decreased by the same factor. We will show that for a coherent domain to form $N \approx 10^9$. This leads to an increase in the lifetime for gas diffusion by a factor of 10^9 which explains why surface nanobubble have long lifetimes. The lifetime is expected to be further enhanced by the Frohlich repulsive force between non resonating molecules.

As emphasized already, an essential assumption of our work in that a nanoscale collection of electrons exist within a physical volume V_c which can be clearly identified. For the present work, the volume V_c is identified with the surface volume of nanobubble in water which contains a collection of N electrons. The vacuum electromagnetic field couples to all these electrons, each of which has mass m and charge e. Let $\vec{E}(t,\vec{x})$ denote the time dependent electromagnetic field due to the vacuum fluctuation and $\vec{\delta_i}$ denote the fluctuation in the position of the i^{th} electron due to the effect of $E(\vec{t},x)$. Then we have

$$m\sum_i \ddot{\vec{\delta}}_i(t,\vec{x}) \;=\; Ne\vec{E}(t,\vec{x}), \qquad i = 1,2,.....N. \quad (1)$$

Taking the Fourier transform, we get

$$-\,m\sum_i \omega^2\vec{\delta}_i(\omega,\vec{x}) = Ne\vec{E}(\omega,\vec{x}), \quad (2)$$

where we have used the same symbols $\vec{\delta}$ and $\vec{E}$ for the Fourier transforms of these quantities. Thus we get

$$\left|\sum_i \vec{\delta}_i(\omega,\vec{x})\right|^2 = N^2\frac{e^2E^2(\omega,\vec{x})}{m^2w^4}, \quad (3)$$

where $E^2 \equiv |\vec{E}|^2$.

We now calculate the time average of the fluctuations. We assume that the fluctuations are independent so that the cross terms vanish. We also assume that the time averaged fluctuation $< |\vec{\delta_i}|^2 > \equiv < \delta^2 >$ are the same for all the electrons. With these assumptions and using the result for the value of δ^2 given in [7] we get our final expression for the average squared fluctuation of the position of an electron when it is part of an assembly of N electrons as

$$< \delta^2 >_N = 2N\alpha\lambda_c^2 \ln\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \equiv \delta_N^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}$ is the fine structure constant and $\lambda_c = \frac{\hbar}{mc}$ is the Compton wavelength of the electron. The factors in the log term come from limits of ω integration which are taken to be $\frac{mc^2}{\hbar}$ and $\frac{me^4}{\hbar^3}$ representing a relativistic cut off set by the electron mass and a lower frequency cut off set by the atomic scale Bohr frequency [7]. We will set $\ln\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \approx 8$. Thus $< \delta^2 >_N \approx 16N\alpha\lambda_c^2$. This is a constant universal expression.

We now use this result to determine the coupling of a charge to the zero point field when it is part of an assembly of charges close together. The idea we use is that the fluctuation-induced position change δ_N produces a change of the well defined nanovolume $V_c \approx l^3$ and this leads to a force. The volume of the nanoshell is set by the wavelength l corresponding to a transition of energy $\approx$ 10 eV. This induced force tells how the vacuum EM field interacts with a charge belonging to an assembly.

To determine this force. we start with our well-defined nanocluster of charged particles in volume V_c. The energy density of the fluctuating field in this volume is $|\vec{E}|^2 = \frac{1}{2V_c}\hbar\omega$ for frequency ω, where $\vec{E}$ is the vacuum EM field, given by $\vec{E} = \vec{u}\frac{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}{\sqrt{2V_c}}e^{-i\omega t}$. Consider the effect of volume change due to the position change $\sqrt{< \delta^2(t,\vec{x}) >_N} \approx 4\sqrt{\alpha\lambda_c^2 N}$ on $\vec{E}$ The volume change

produces, as we now show, an induced EM force, which we write as $e\vec{E}_f(t)$.

We determine $\vec{E}_f(t)$ in two steps. In the first step we define $\vec{E}_f$ by the equation

$$\sqrt{\alpha}\vec{E}_f(t) \;=\; \vec{u}\sqrt{\hbar\omega}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(V_c+\delta V)}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2V_c}}]e^{-i\omega t} \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{\delta V_c}{V_c}=\frac{3\sqrt{<\delta>^2}}{l}$. A constraint to remember is that we must have $\frac{\delta V}{V}<<1$. Thus we get

$$e\vec{E}_f(t)=\vec{u}\;\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{16c\frac{N\alpha\lambda_c^2}{2V_c\omega}}(\frac{1}{l})\hbar\omega\; e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (6)$$

The fluctuating EM force $e\vec{E}_f$ can now be used to produce an interaction energy term, $e\vec{E}_f(t).\vec{x}$ with an electron, located at $\vec{x}$ which is simply the usual $\frac{e}{c}\;\vec{j}.\vec{A}$ term, where the vector potential $\vec{A}$ is defined by $\vec{E}_f=\frac{1}{c}\;\frac{\partial\vec{A}}{\partial t}$. Thus the induced EM term constructed is a standard field-current interaction and can cause a transition between states $|i>,|f>$. Its transition matrix element is given by the expression

$$<i|e\vec{E}_f.\vec{x}|f> \;=\; \frac{<i|(\vec{x}.\vec{u})|f>}{\sqrt{2V_c\omega/c}}\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{16N\lambda_c^2}{l^2}}\;\hbar\omega \quad (7)$$

where $\vec{x}.\vec{u}=rl\cos\theta$, and $\cos\theta$ is the angle between the vectors $\vec{x},\vec{u}$. The expression for the vacuum field induced transition amplitude represents a coupling between two electronic states and the zero point photon induced em field. It has the structure of the usual dipole transition but with a multiplicative factor $\sqrt{\frac{16N\lambda_c^2}{l^2}}$ due to the fact that the interaction is induced from zero point fluctuations. When N is $\approx 10^9$ this factor is of order unity and the mixing of states takes place with high probability. This is what we think happens in the nanobubble shell.

Another important result that can be extracted from the transition matrix element is a frequency relation between a collective frequency Ω and the transition frequency ω, which is,

$$\Omega \;=\; G\omega, \quad (8)$$

$$G \;=\; \sqrt{\alpha}\;r\;\frac{3}{2}\;\sqrt{\frac{Nc}{2V_c\omega}}\;\left(\sqrt{\frac{16\lambda_c^2}{l^2}}\right). \quad (9)$$

We note that $\frac{<i|e\vec{E}_F.\vec{x}|f>}{<i|\vec{x}.\vec{u}|f>}$ is a characteristic energy associated with the transition which we have written as $\hbar\Omega$. We will show, shortly, that this energy term, representing the mixing between the ground state and the exited state, lowers the ground state energy. Hence we expect that all the atoms in our nano assembly will have this frequency Ω. The value of G is $\approx 10^{-3}$ for $N\approx 10^9, r\approx 3\times 10^{-8}$ cm, $\omega\approx 10^{16}s^{-1}$, and $V\approx 10^{-15}$ cc.

A result of this form was first derived Preparata et al in [18] for water molecules using a path integral quantum field theory approach. They pioneered the idea that nanoscale structures would form driven by time dependent zero point em fields [19]. However our approach is different from that of Preparata et al and one of our basic results is a crucially different from those derived in [19], namely our linking equation between the collective oscillation Ω_{ij} and the transition oscillation frequencies ω_{ij} has an additional numerical factor of $\sqrt{\frac{16N\lambda_c^2}{l^2}}$ present. This factor appears for the physical reason that we have explained.

We now show that the mixing of states can lower the ground state energy by just considering frequencies. Note the vacuum induced electric field is independent of $\vec{x}$ and small. Hence a simple perturbation treatment of its effect is allowed. We describe energies in terms of frequencies Ω,ω_1,ω_2, neglecting numerical factors. Consider the Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H}{\hbar} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix}\omega_1 & \Omega\\ \Omega & \omega_2\end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

which acts on the states characterized by eigenvalues ω_1 and ω_2 and the interaction term mixes the two states. The eigenvalues of the interaction Hamiltonian H are given by

$$\lambda_\pm=\frac{(\omega_1+\omega_2)}{2}\left[1\pm\sqrt{1+\frac{4(\Omega^2-\omega_1\omega_2)}{(\omega_1+\omega_2)^2}}\right]. \quad (11)$$

For $\Omega^2>\omega_1\omega_2$ we see that one of the eigenvalues is

$$\lambda_-\approx-\frac{\Omega^2-\omega_1\omega_2}{(\omega_1+\omega_2)}<0. \quad (12)$$

The condition on Ω tells us that λ_- is most negative when ω_2 is small. This implies that the energy is lowered if the transition is between levels one of which is close to the ionization threshold. Thus the mixed state is expected to have a loosely bound electron. In our application the ground state energy is ω_1 and we assume that the excited state is close to the ionization threshold i.e. $\omega_2\approx 0$. Then, it is clear that the ground state energy is lowered due to the mixing and this lowering of the ground state energy is the physical reason for the formation of coherent nanoclusters. We stress that although the fluctuating EM field mixes the ground state with an excited state both states involved are bound states. No photon leaves the system.

Let us work out the magnetic properties expected for the surface nanobubbles assuming they have a coherent water layer of volume 100^3 cubic nm. This size is fixed by the wavelength of the $\approx$ 10eV near ionisation excitation level of water. The current in the model $j_e=e\frac{\Omega}{2\pi}$, is interpreted as due to the collective orbiting motion of outer electrons of water molecules. This gives an average

magnetic moment μ per water molecule in the coherent domain given by

$$\mu = \frac{\pi r^2 e\Omega}{2\pi c}, \tag{13}$$

where r is the size of the water molecule and Ω is the collective frequency of the cluster. Putting in numbers $r \approx 3\times 10^{-8}$ cm, and $\Omega \approx 10^{13}$ we find that $\mu \approx 10^{-3}\mu_B$, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton. We suppose that when a static external magnetic field is introduced it interacts with the electron currents present in the coherent domain. Thus for a coherent domain interacting with an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, the static interaction is $]V = N\mu.\mathbf{B}$.

We now apply our general results to surface nanobubble water layers, continuing to use the simple model in which the coherent mixing leads to a lower energy ground state. We described this ground state in terms of two time-dependent coherent oscillatory basis states. These we now take to be labelled by angular momentum spherical harmonic labels $l = 0, m = 0$ and $l = 1, m = 0$ that describe the electrons. The ground state wavefunction is written as

$$\zeta(\mathbf{\Omega}, t) = \gamma_0(t)Y_{0,0}(\mathbf{\Omega}) + \gamma_1(t)Y_{1,0}(\mathbf{\Omega}), \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the direction of the orbiting electron angular velocity.

The magnetic field mixes the basis vectors of the coherent state which we describe by a mixing angle θ. Once this step has been taken we can calculate the magnetic moment of the system, assuming V is a perturbation and as it is static, it does not modify the time dependence of $\gamma_i(t), i = 0, 1$. The eigenvalues of the system with the magnetic field are,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\omega - \sqrt{\omega^2 + 4V^2}}{2}, \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\omega + \sqrt{\omega^2 + 4V^2}}{2}. \tag{16}$$

From λ_1, λ_2 the corresponding eigenfunctions are constructed. In terms of them the ground state wave function becomes

$$\zeta = AY_{0,0} + BY_{1,0}, \tag{17}$$

$$A = (\gamma_0(t)\cos\theta - \gamma_1(t)\sin\theta), \tag{18}$$

$$B = (\gamma_1(t)\cos\theta + \gamma_0(t)\sin\theta), \tag{19}$$

where $\tan\theta = \frac{1-\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x}, x = \frac{2V}{\omega}$. Using this expression we now calculate the time average value of the magnetic moment P_{Av} in the presence of an external magnetic field. This is simply the time average of $\epsilon_\mu.\epsilon_{\mathbf{B}}$ evaluated on the ground state written in terms of the new basis wavefunctions. Here $\epsilon_{\mathbf{B}}$ and ϵ_μ are the unit vectors in the direction of the field and the orbiting electron angular velocity, respectively Thus

$$P_{Av} = \int d\Omega \zeta^*(\mathbf{u}, t)\cos\theta\zeta(\mathbf{u}, t), \tag{20}$$

which gives

$$P_{Av} = -\kappa\sin 2\theta = -\kappa\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}, \tag{21}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{\Omega^2 - 2\lambda_0^2}{\Omega^2 + 2\lambda_0^2} \approx 0.58$ and $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}[\omega - \sqrt{\omega^2 + 4\Omega^2}]$ This is the expression for the expected nonlinear response of an outer orbiting electron of a water molecule that belongs to the surface coherent layer to an external magnetic field. The value for the total magnetic moment for the surface nanobubble is $\frac{N\pi e r^2\Omega}{2\pi c} \approx 10^6\mu_B$, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton. Since this result is for a stable ground state it should be temperature independent.

In conclusion, we have suggested that surface nanobubbles are stable because of a new structured phase of water, first suggested by Preparata and coworkers using the methods of quantum field theory. Our treatment is based on simpler ideas of fluctuations and give different results. The main difference is that our model suggests that nanoscale structures need to have a well defined starting volume. We do not expect them in the bulk but for surface nanobubbles where the volume at the surface is well defined. The second difference is that our induced em field has an additional factor representing the vacuum fluctuating origin of the force.

Surface nanobubbles can only have sizes that are compatible with a fixed coherent volume of $\approx 100^3$ cubic nm. They are expected to be charged, due to the mixing of the ground state with a state close to the ionization threshold, they should expel surfactants due to quantum forces that repel molecules that are not in resonance, which explains why surface nanobubbles form, while the increase in the scattering cross section by a factor of $N \approx 10^9$ due to the formation of coherent domains, explains their long life. Finally we showed that they are expected to exhibit nonlinear orbital paramagnetism. The model described has been used to explain the observed relatively long lifetime of microbubbles[17] and the observed temperature independent nonlinear magnetic properties of doped Cerium Oxide [20]

Acknowledgement

KSG and SS would like to thank Prof. Alvaro Ferraz (IIP-UFRN-Brazil) for the hospitality at IIP-Natal-Brazil, where this work was carried out. SS would like to thank Prof Michael Coey of CRANN for getting him interested in nanobubbles, for many helpful discussions of experimental results and for his useful comments about the paper, especially regarding the significance of Eq (21).

[1] W.Ducker, Langmuir **25**, 8907 (2009).

[2] M.P Brenner and D.Lohse Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 214505 (2008).

[3] J. R. T. Seddon, Harold J. W. Zandvliet and D. Lohse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 116101 (2011).

[4] F.Jin, J. Li, X. Ye and Chi Wu, J. Phys. Chem **B 111**, 11745 (2000).

[5] J. H. Weijs and D. Lohse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 054501 (2013).

[6] A QED Coherence Model for Surface Nanobubbles, S. Sen, talk at : Foams and Minimal Surfaces - 12 years on (FMSW02), Isaac Newton Institute, Cambride, 2014, http://www.newton.ac.uk/seminar/20140224143514551.

[7] Itzykson and Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, p 80-82, McGraw Hill (1980).

[8] W. Lamb and E. Retherford, Phys. Rev **72**, 241 (1947).

[9] T.Welton, Phys. Rev. **74**, 1157 (1948).

[10] H. Casimir, Proc. kon. Nederland Akad. Wetensch, **B51**, 793 (1948).

[11] G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1827 (2009).

[12] B. K. Shandilya, S. Sen, T. Sahoo, S. Talukder, P. Chaudhury, S. Adhikari, J. Chem. Phys. **139**, 034310 (2010) and private communication, S.Adhikari.

[13] B. Chai and G. H. Pollack, J.Phys.Chem. **B 114**, 5371 (2010).

[14] S.Sen and H.P. Voorheis, Journal of Theoretical Biology 363,169(2014).

[15] H.Frohlich Phys. Rev. **79**, 845 (1950).

[16] The reason for an attractive resonance dipole dipole interaction can be understood by considering, following Frohlich, a model of free dipoles interacting with an oscillating electromagnetic field. The interaction Hamiltonian H is taken to be

$$H = g \int \psi^*(x,t)\psi(x,t)A(x,t)$$

where $\psi^*(x,t)$ represents the dipole creation operator and $\psi(x,t)$ the dipole destruction operator and $A(x,t)$ the oscillating electromagnetic field where we have approximated all fields by scalar fields. The oscillatory character of $A(x,t)$ means we can write it as

$$A(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum [a_q e^{-1\omega_q t + \mathbf{q}.\mathbf{x}} + hc]$$

This gives rise to a positive interaction of the form

$$V_q \approx \frac{\omega_q}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p+q})^2 - (\omega_q)^2}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p+q}$ is energy difference between the two dipoles and ω is the photon energy. Integrating ω over the allowed momenta values of the photon with an appropriate physically determined cut off, Ω, gives the potential energy as a function of the difference in energies of the two dipoles. In the simple model we take the dipoles to be free air molecules in the self generated gas cavity that surrounds a hydrophobic site. The quantum fluctuating momentum scale set by the quantum uncertainty principle is for coherent domain. Carrying out the momentum integration gives the dipole- photon potential $V_{d,\gamma}(\epsilon)$ to be

$$V_{d,\gamma}(\epsilon) \approx (\frac{g^2}{\Omega})(\frac{\epsilon}{2\Omega} \ln |\frac{1+\frac{\epsilon}{\Omega}}{1-\frac{\epsilon}{\Omega}}| - 1)$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ the difference of energies of the two dipoles and Ω is the photon energy corresponding to the thermal momentum cutoff momentum. We see this potential is attractive for $\epsilon \leq \Omega$ and repulsive otherwise. We note that this attractive potential, and its associated attractive force, increases as $\epsilon \Rightarrow 0$ which corresponds to the oscillators being in resonance. This new force, of quantum origin, only appears when the dipoles are oscillating and the electromagnetic field is time dependent. The oscillation of the dipoles in the model come from the fact that they are confined to a coherent domain and are represented by oscillating waves. This new quantum force brings resonating dipoles close together.

[17] J.M.D. Coey et al Formation and Stability of aqueous microbubbles. (to be published).

[18] E.Del Guidice, G.Preparata, G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1085 (1988).

[19] G.Preparata, QED Coherence in Matter, World Scientific,(1995).

[20] J.M.D.Coey et al. Collective Magnetic Response of CeO_2. (to be published)